



ATTIKO METPO A.E.

**TITLE OF THE TENDER: GEOLOGICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL
INVESTIGATIONS AND DESIGNS FOR
THESSALONIKI METRO EXTENSION TO
“MACEDONIA” AIRPORT”**

RFP-355/19 (A.Σ. 82662)

CLARIFICATIONS DOCUMENT

CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT

This Clarifications Document is issued in accordance with the stipulations of paragraph 2.3 of the Invitation to Tender. It contains responses to the questions that have been raised by the Bidders until 29.05.2019.

The content of the Clarifications Document are considered to be an integrated part of the Invitation to Express Interest.

A. RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS

Question 1

1) As regards the European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

A. In article 22.2.1 it is stated that the ESPD serves as a preliminary proof of evidence in replacement of the certificates issued by public authorities or third parties, confirming that the subject economic operator fulfills the following requirements: a) it is not in one of the situations described in article 19 herein; b) it fulfills the relevant selection criteria, that have been specified as per article 20 herein.

However, in the ESPD issued by the Service no reference is made to the technical and professional competence mentioned in article 20. Kindly clarify whether it is required to fill in, at this phase, the tables of the Annexes and include them in the participation supporting documents. Please also clarify whether the CVs are also required at this phase, signed by the indicated executives, taking into account that a) in paragraph 3.5.b of the Invitation it is mentioned that In the framework of this Tender, there is not any requirement for the submission of original documents in printouts and b) not all members of the engineering group have a digital signature.

B. In the ESPD and in the question: “Specify the role of the economic operator”, the responses can be, for example, “Group_member”, “The_leader_of_the_consortium – Joint_Venture_or_another_type_of_group”. Apparently, one of the offices of the Office Association shall be the coordinator, which shall also be a member of the Association. Which is the correct response in this case?

Response 1

A. The content of the Participation Envelope is stipulated in Article 22 of the Invitation; the subject Envelope does not include tables, CVs of the executives, etc. All preconditions related items that are required by articles 19 and 20 of the Invitation must be submitted by the lowest bidder during the stage of the submission of the back up documentation for the award, per article 21 of the Invitation.

B. As regards the Association’s Office that will constitute the coordinator, the pertinent selection shall be: “The_leader_of_the_consortium– Joint_Venture_or_another_type_of_group”.

Question 2

In paragraph 20.2.1 it is stated that “The term “executives” means the executives of a company, who are either shareholders or participate in the company or are employed by the subject company on a permanent basis by virtue of a dependent labour agreement. This means that a stockholder of the Company with designer permit not included in the company’s permit is not considered as “borrowed competence” and, thus, is considered as shareholder.

CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT

Response 2

It is hereby clarified that the designers, who are share holders of a company and have not integrated their licence into the subject company, are not characterised as “executives” and, thus, cannot fulfil the “executives’ related requirements” stipulated in article 20.2.1 of the Invitation.

Question 3

Is it possible that certain persons mentioned in paragraph 20.2.1 (Staffing requirements) be the same with the persons mentioned in paragraph 20.2.2.a (Specialized personnel)?

Response 3

It is possible for these persons to be the same, should the “executives” of the Company do cover the requirements of article 20.2.2 (a) as regards the specialized personnel.

Question 4

Kindly clarify the following: is it allowed for the same borrowed field equipment or laboratory (e.g. chemical laboratory) and their respective personnel (drilling rigs operators, assistants, laboratory technicians) to be announced by more than one bidding schemes?

Response 4

It is not allowed for the utilization of the same borrowed competence to be announced by more than one bidding schemes, in view of fulfilling the requirements of article 20.2.2.

Question 5

Kindly clarify the following: (1) the Invitation (technical and professional competence related requirements) and, more specifically, article 20.2 [20.2.1 and 20.2.2] does not make any reference to a series of works, tests, to machinery, etc. indicated in the price list. Therefore, is it correct to assume that the requirements are only those stipulated in the technical and professional competence, i.e. in articles 20.2.1 and 20.2.2 (a) specialized personnel, (b) Soil/Rock Engineering Tests Laboratory and (c) Five (5) drilling rigs with ≥ 30 Hp drills (rigs), or borrowed items of equipment, for water drilling rig, airlift, special tests, etc., referred to in the price list, must also be proposed?

Response 5

Yes, the requirements are those stipulated only in articles 20.2.1 and 20.2.2 of the Invitation.